



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/090,557	03/01/2002	Hawley K. Rising III	080398.P515	5435
8791 7590 01/06/2009 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040				
EXAMINER				
HOSSAIN, FARZANA E				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2424				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
01/06/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/090,557

Applicant(s)

RISING, HAWLEY K.

Examiner

FARZANA E. HOSSAIN

Art Unit

2424

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 September 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-11 and 13-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-11 and 13-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 01 March 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This office action is in response to communications filed 09/24/2008. Claims 1, 3-11 and 13-28 are pending. Claims 1, 8, 11, 18 and 21 are amended. Claims 2 and 12 are cancelled. Claims 3-7, 9, 10, 22-25, 27 and 28 are original. Claims 13-17 and 19-20 are previously presented. Claim 26 should have the heading previously presented instead of currently amended.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 09/24/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding Claims 1 and 11, the applicant argues that the Sezan discloses a visualization scheme not an occurrence description scheme describing an occurrence of a semantic entity at a location and comprising values for descriptors that specify a locator type, a collection type and an occurrence type content description scheme comprises structure, semantic and occurrence description schemes and that Sezan does not teach or suggest evaluating the multimedia content using only the occurrence description scheme (Page 8).

In response to the argument, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Sezan discloses the occurrence description scheme comprising values for descriptors that specify a locator type or a Still Profile with a <HotRegion>which specifies hot regions or regions of interest with a frame (Page 11, paragraph 00113), a collection type (Page 8, paragraph 0075) and an occurrence type or Character Profile and Object Profile which are perceivable in the media with a spatial and temporal extent based on location with a frame and duration of the character and object and also symbolically represented in the textual description of the picture (Pages 11-12, paragraph 0116-0119) for the content at the location (Figure 14, Figure 13, 406, Page 3, paragraph 0042, Pages 9-12, paragraphs 0083-0125). Sezan and the instant invention differ in the names of each descriptor but disclose the same information. The rejection is maintained.

Claims 3-7 and 13-17 depend on Claims 1 and 11. See arguments above.

3. Applicant's arguments filed 09/24/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding Claims 8-10, 18-28, the applicant argues that Sezan does not teach or suggest occurrence description scheme that specifies a locator type, a collection type and an occurrence type for content at a location for claims 8, 18 and 21 (Page 8).

In response to the argument, Sezan discloses the occurrence description scheme comprising values for descriptors that specify a locator type or a Still Profile with a <HotRegion>which specifies hot regions or regions of interest with a frame (Page 11, paragraph 00113), a collection type (Page 8, paragraph 0075) and an occurrence

type or Character Profile and Object Profile which are perceivable in the media with a spatial and temporal extent based on location with a frame and duration of the character and object and also symbolically represented in the textual description of the picture (Pages 11-12, paragraph 0116-0119) for the content at the location (Figure 14, Figure 13, 406, Page 3, paragraph 0042, Pages 9-12, paragraphs 0083-0125). See argument above.

The examiner would like to also point out that independent claim 26 was not amended. The rejection of Claim 26 was not modified. Claims 8 and 18 were modified to incorporate the new amendment. See rejections below.

Claims 8-10, 18-20 and 21-25 depend on Claims 8 and 18. Claims 26-28 were not amended nor argued. See arguments above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1-7, 11-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sezan et al (US 2005/0091685 and hereafter referred to as "Sezan").

Regarding Claims 1 and 11, Sezan discloses a computerized method and a processor to perform a method (Page 3, paragraph 0042, Page 5, paragraph 0051) comprising: receiving a content description for multimedia content, the content description (Figure 13, 400) comprising a structure description scheme (Figure 13, 402, 450, 452, 454, Figures 16-18), a semantic description scheme (Figure 13, 404, 480, 482, 484, Figures 19-21), and an occurrence description scheme (Figure 13, 406, Figure 14), the occurrence description scheme describing an occurrence of a semantic entity at a location in the content or at a key frame or highlight or frame and extracting the occurrence description scheme from the content description (Figure 14, Figure 13, 406, Page 16, paragraph 0183, Page 3, paragraph 0042, Page 4, paragraph 0049, Page 5, paragraph 0050, Pages 10-12, paragraphs 0083-0125), the occurrence description scheme comprising values for descriptors that specify a locator type or a Still Profile with a <HotRegion>which specifies hot regions or regions of interest with a frame (Page 11, paragraph 00113), a collection type (Page 8, paragraph 0075) and an occurrence type or Character Profile and Object Profile which are perceivable in the media with a spatial and temporal extent based on location with a frame and duration of the character and object and also symbolically represented in the textual description of the picture (Pages 11-12, paragraph 0116-0119) for the content at the location (Figure 14, Figure 13, 406, Page 3, paragraph 0042, Pages 9-12, paragraphs 0083-0125); and evaluating the multimedia content using only the occurrence description scheme (Figure 14, page 16, paragraphs 0183). Sezan discloses that an intelligent agent/software agent processes and performs the functions using data from the data storage device

(Page 3, paragraph 0042, Page 5, paragraphs 0051, 0053). It is necessarily included that a computer readable storage medium or a data storage device having executable instructions causes a processor or agent to perform necessary functions.

Regarding Claims 3 and 13, Sezan discloses all the limitations of Claims 1 and 11 respectively. Sezan discloses providing the occurrence description scheme to an application that evaluates the multimedia content (Page 16, paragraph 0183, Figure 13, 406, Figure 14, Page 3, paragraph 0042, Figure 2, 42, 52, Page 5, paragraph 0053).

Regarding Claims 4 and 14, Sezan discloses all the limitations of Claims 3 and 13 respectively. Sezan discloses wherein the application is selected from the group consisting of searching, filtering, and browsing applications (Page 16, paragraph 0183, Figure 13, 406, Figure 14, Page 5, paragraph 0053).

Regarding Claims 5 and 15, Sezan discloses all the limitations of Claims 1 and 11 respectively. Sezan discloses wherein the content description complies with the MPEG-7 standard and the occurrence description scheme is represented by a MediaOccurrence description scheme (Page 11, paragraph 0016, Character Profile, Page 12, paragraphs 0017-0019, Object Profile).

Regarding Claims 6 and 16, Sezan discloses all the limitations of Claims 1 and 11 respectively. Sezan discloses creating the content description from the occurrence description scheme (Page 3, paragraph 0042).

Regarding Claims 7 and 17, Sezan discloses all the limitations of Claims 6 and 16 respectively. Sezan discloses distributing the content description through a communications media (Figure 2, Page 5, paragraph 0060).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 8-10, 18-20, 21-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cobbley et al (US 5,614,940 and hereafter referred to as "Cobbley") in view of Sezan.

Regarding Claims 8 and 18, Cobbley discloses a computerized method (Column 5, lines 51-67, Column 3, lines 1-13), a computer readable storage medium having executable instructions to cause a computer to perform a method (Column 2, lines 50-65, Column 3, lines 1-13, Figure 5, Column 5, lines 51-67, Column 6, lines 16, Column 14, lines 18-35), and a system (Figure 5, Column 14, lines 14-21) comprising: a processor coupled to a bus (Figure 5, 501, 500); a memory coupled to the processor through the bus (Figure 5, 505, 504); and an encode process executed by the processor from the memory to cause the processor to create a content description for multimedia content (Column 2, lines 50-65, Column 3, lines 1-13). Cobbley is silent on the content description comprising an occurrence description scheme describing a semantic entity at a location comprising an occurrence description scheme describing an occurrence of

a semantic entity in the multimedia content, the occurrence description scheme comprising values for descriptors that describe features of the content at the new location.

Sezan discloses a source of the content description (Page 5, paragraph 0050), the content description (Figure 13, 400) comprising a structure description scheme (Figure 13, 402, 450, 452, 454, Figures 16-18), a semantic description scheme (Figure 13, 404, 480, 482, 484, Figures 19-21), and an occurrence description scheme (Figure 13, 406, Figure 14), the occurrence description scheme describing an occurrence of a semantic entity at a location in the content or at a key frame or highlight or frame and extracting the occurrence description scheme from the content description (Figure 14, Figure 13, 406, Page 16, paragraph 0183, Page 3, paragraph 0042, Page 4, paragraph 0049, Page 5, paragraph 0050, Pages 10-12, paragraphs 0083-0125), the occurrence description scheme comprising values for descriptors that specify a locator type or a Still Profile with a <HotRegion> which specifies hot regions or regions of interest with a frame (Page 11, paragraph 00113), a collection type (Page 8, paragraph 0075) and an occurrence type or Character Profile and Object Profile which are perceivable in the media with a spatial and temporal extent based on location with a frame and duration of the character and object and also symbolically represented in the textual description of the picture (Pages 11-12, paragraph 0116-0119) for the content at the location (Figure 14, Figure 13, 406, Page 3, paragraph 0042, Pages 9-12, paragraphs 0083-0125);

and Sezan discloses a limited decode process executed by the processor from the memory to cause the processor to cause the processor to evaluate the multimedia

content using only the occurrence description scheme (Figure 14, page 16, paragraphs 0183, Page 3, paragraph 0042).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Cobbley to include a structure description scheme (Figure 13, 402, 450, 452, 454, Figures 16-18), a semantic description scheme (Figure 13, 404, 480, 482, 484, Figures 19-21), an occurrence description scheme describing an occurrence of a semantic entity at a location in the content or at a key frame or highlight (Figure 14, Figure 13, 406, Page 16, paragraph 0183, Page 3, paragraph 0042, Page 4, paragraph 0049, Page 5, paragraph 0050, Pages 10-12, paragraphs 0083-0125 the occurrence description scheme comprising values for descriptors that specify a locator type or a Still Profile with a <HotRegion>which specifies hot regions or regions of interest with a frame (Page 11, paragraph 00113), a collection type (Page 8, paragraph 0075) and an occurrence type or Character Profile and Object Profile which are perceivable in the media with a spatial and temporal extent based on location with a frame and duration of the character and object and also symbolically represented in the textual description of the picture (Pages 11-12, paragraph 0116-0119) for the content at the location (Figure 14, Figure 13, 406, Page 3, paragraph 0042, Pages 9-12, paragraphs 0083-0125); and evaluating the multimedia content using only the occurrence description scheme (Figure 14, page 16, paragraphs 0183, Page 3, paragraph 0042) as taught by Sezan in order to provide a user with a description of audio visual information for better browsing, filter, searching, archiving and

personalization (Page 1, paragraphs 001, 0006) and to be able to search, filter and browse using a standardized method (Page 19, paragraph 0203).

Regarding Claim 21, Cobbley discloses a computerized method, a computer readable medium having executable instruction to cause a processor to perform a method, and a system comprising: a processor coupled to a bus (Figure 5, 500, 501); a memory coupled to the processor through the bus (Figure 5, 505, 504); a communications interface coupled to the processor through the bus (Figure 5, 512), and further coupled to a communications medium (Figure 5, 512, Figure 1, 135); and a process executed by the processor from the memory to cause the processor to receive, through the communications interface, a content description for multimedia content the content description comprising an occurrence description scheme describing subject matter (Column 11, lines 6-34, Figure 1, Figure 3). Cobbley is silent on a limited decode process executed by the processor from the memory to cause the processor to receive a content description for multimedia content, the content description comprising an occurrence description scheme describing an occurrence of a semantic entity at a location in the content, the occurrence description scheme comprising values for description the occurrence description scheme comprising values for descriptors that specify a locator type, a collection type and an occurrence type. Sezan discloses a limited decode process executed by the processor from the memory to cause the processor to receive, through the communications interface, a content description for multimedia content, the content description scheme (Figure 13, 400) comprising a structure description scheme (Figure 13, 402, 450, 452, 454, Figures 16-18), a semantic

description scheme (Figure 13, 404, 480, 482, 484, Figures 19-21), and an occurrence description scheme (Figure 13, 406, Figure 14), the occurrence description scheme describing an occurrence of a semantic entity at a location in the content or at a key frame or highlight or frame and extracting the occurrence description scheme from the content description (Figure 14, Figure 13, 406, Page 16, paragraph 0183, Page 3, paragraph 0042, Page 4, paragraph 0049, Page 5, paragraph 0050, Pages 10-12, paragraphs 0083-0125), the occurrence description scheme comprising values for descriptors that specify a locator type or a Still Profile with a <HotRegion>which specifies hot regions or regions of interest with a frame (Page 11, paragraph 00113), a collection type (Page 8, paragraph 0075) and an occurrence type or Character Profile and Object Profile which are perceivable in the media with a spatial and temporal extent based on location with a frame and duration of the character and object and also symbolically represented in the textual description of the picture (Pages 11-12, paragraph 0116-0119) for the content at the location (Figure 14, Figure 13, 406, Page 3, paragraph 0042, Pages 9-12, paragraphs 0083-0125), and to extract the occurrence description scheme from the content description (Page 3, paragraph 0042, Page 4, paragraph 0049, Page 5, paragraph 0050,) and to evaluate the multimedia content using only the occurrence description scheme (Figure 14, page 16, paragraphs 0183).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Cobbley to include a structure description scheme (Figure 13, 402, 450, 452, 454, Figures 16-18), a semantic description scheme (Figure 13, 404, 480, 482, 484, Figures 19-21), an occurrence description scheme describing an

occurrence of a semantic entity at a location in the content or at a key frame or highlight (Figure 14, Figure 13, 406, Page 16, paragraph 0183, Page 3, paragraph 0042, Page 4, paragraph 0049, Page 5, paragraph 0050, Pages 10-12, paragraphs 0083-0125), the occurrence description scheme comprising values for descriptors that specify a locator type or a Still Profile with a <HotRegion> which specifies hot regions or regions of interest with a frame (Page 11, paragraph 00113), a collection type (Page 8, paragraph 0075) and an occurrence type or Character Profile and Object Profile which are perceivable in the media with a spatial and temporal extent based on location with a frame and duration of the character and object and also symbolically represented in the textual description of the picture (Pages 11-12, paragraph 0116-0119) for the content at the location (Figure 14, Figure 13, 406, Page 3, paragraph 0042, Pages 9-12, paragraphs 0083-0125) and to extract the occurrence description scheme from the content description (Page 3, paragraph 0042, Page 4, paragraph 0049, Page 5, paragraph 0050,) and to evaluate the multimedia content using only the occurrence description scheme (Figure 14, page 16, paragraphs 0183, Page 3, paragraph 0042) as taught by Sezan in order to provide a user with a description of audio visual information for better browsing, filter, searching, archiving and personalization (Page 1, paragraphs 001, 0006) and to be able to search, filter and browse using a standardized method (Page 19, paragraph 0203).

Regarding Claim 26, Cobbley discloses a computerized method (Column 5, lines 51-67, Column 3, lines 1-13), a computer readable storage medium having executable instructions to cause a computer to perform a method (Column 2, lines 50-65, Column 3,

lines 1-13, Figure 5, Column 5, lines 51-67, Column 6, lines 16, Column 14, lines 18-35), and a system (Figure 5, Column 14, lines 14-21) comprising: a processor coupled to a bus (Figure 5, 501, 500); a memory coupled to the processor through the bus (Figure 5, 505, 504); and an encode process executed by the processor from the memory to cause the processor to create a content description for multimedia content (Column 2, lines 50-65, Column 3, lines 1-13). Cobbley is silent on the content description comprising an occurrence description scheme describing a semantic entity at a location comprising an occurrence description scheme describing an occurrence of a semantic entity in the multimedia content, the occurrence description scheme comprising values for descriptors that describe features of the content at the new location.

Sezan discloses a source of the content description (Page 5, paragraph 0050), the content description (Figure 13, 400) comprising a structure description scheme (Figure 13, 402, 450, 452, 454, Figures 16-18), a semantic description scheme (Figure 13, 404, 480, 482, 484, Figures 19-21), and an occurrence description scheme (Figure 13, 406, Figure 14), the occurrence description scheme describing an occurrence of a semantic entity at a location in the content or at a key frame or highlight or frame and extracting the occurrence description scheme from the content description (Figure 14, Figure 13, 406, Page 16, paragraph 0183, Page 3, paragraph 0042, Page 4, paragraph 0049, Page 5, paragraph 0050, Pages 10-12, paragraphs 0083-0125), the occurrence description scheme comprising values for descriptors that describe features of the content at the location or occurrence id including duration, location motion of profiles

including character and object profiles (Figure 14, Figure 13, 406, Page 3, paragraph 0042, Pages 10-12, paragraphs 0083-0125); and Sezan discloses a limited decode process executed by the processor from the memory to cause the processor to cause the processor to evaluate the multimedia content using only the occurrence description scheme (Figure 14, page 16, paragraphs 0183, Page 3, paragraph 0042).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Cobbley to include a structure description scheme (Figure 13, 402, 450, 452, 454, Figures 16-18), a semantic description scheme (Figure 13, 404, 480, 482, 484, Figures 19-21), an occurrence description scheme describing an occurrence of a semantic entity at a location in the content or at a key frame or highlight (Figure 14, Figure 13, 406, Page 16, paragraph 0183, Page 3, paragraph 0042, Page 4, paragraph 0049, Page 5, paragraph 0050, Pages 10-12, paragraphs 0083-0125), the occurrence description scheme comprising values for descriptors that describe features of the content at the location (Figure 14, Figure 13, 406, Page 16, paragraph 0183, Page 3, paragraph 0042, Page 4, paragraph 0049, Page 5, paragraph 0050, Pages 10-12, paragraphs 0083-0125) and evaluating the multimedia content using only the occurrence description scheme (Figure 14, page 16, paragraphs 0183, Page 3, paragraph 0042) as taught by Sezan in order to provide a user with a description of audio visual information for better browsing, filter, searching, archiving and personalization (Page 1, paragraphs 001, 0006) and to be able to search, filter and browse using a standardized method (Page 19, paragraph 0203).

Regarding Claims 9, 19 and 27, Cobbley and Sezan discloses all the limitations of Claims 8, 18 and 26 respectively. Cobbley is silent on the content description complies with the MPEG-7 standard and the occurrence description is represented by a MediaOccurrence description scheme. Sezan discloses wherein the content description complies with the MPEG-7 standard and the occurrence description scheme is represented by a MediaOccurrence description scheme (Page 11, paragraph 0016, Character Profile, Page 12, paragraphs 0017-0019, Object Profile).

Regarding Claims 10, 20 and 28, Cobbley and Sezan disclose all the limitations of 8, 18 and 26 respectively. Cobbley discloses a communications interface coupled to the processor through the bus and further coupled to a communications medium (Figure 5, 512, Column 14, lines 50-55), and the encode process further causes the processor to distribute the content description through the communications interface (Figure 5, 500, 501-503, Column 14, lines 15-45, 50-55, Figure 1, 135).

Regarding Claim 22, Cobbley and Sezan disclose all the limitations of Claim 21. Sezan discloses providing the process of providing occurrence description scheme to an application that evaluates the multimedia content (Page 3, paragraph 0042, Figure 2, 42, 52, Page 5, paragraph 0053).

Regarding Claim 23, Cobbley and Sezan disclose all the limitations of Claim 22. Sezan discloses wherein the application is selected from the group consisting of searching, filtering, and browsing applications (Page 5, paragraph 0053).

Regarding Claim 24, Cobbley and Sezan disclose all the limitations of Claim 21. Sezan discloses wherein the content description complies with the MPEG-7 standard and the occurrence description scheme is represented by a MediaOccurrence description scheme (Page 11, paragraph 0016, Character Profile, Page 12, paragraphs 0017-0019, Object Profile).

Regarding Claim 25, Cobbley and Sezan disclose all the limitations of Claim 21. Cobbley discloses a processor executing instructions from the memory to cause the processor to receiving through the communications interface, the content description for multimedia content, the content description further comprising a full semantic description scheme for the semantic entry (Column 11, lines 6-34, Figure 1, Figure 3, Figure 5). Sezan discloses a decode process executed by the processor, through the communications interface, the content description for multimedia content, the content description further comprising a full semantic description scheme for the semantic entry, and to extract the full semantic description scheme from the content description (Page 3, paragraph 0042, Page 4, paragraph 0049, Page 5, paragraph 0050, Page 19, paragraph 0203).

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FARZANA E. HOSSAIN whose telephone number is (571)272-5943. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 7:30 am to 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Kelley can be reached on 571-272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Chris Kelley/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art
Unit 2424

FEH
December 23, 2008